IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 23335 of 2004

Between:

K.Sburahmanaym S/o. Kotha Venkataramaiah
R/o.Pulintipally Village, Chennekothapally Mandal, Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Collector, Anantapur.
2 The Joint Collector, Annanthapur.
3 Revenue Divisional Officer, Dharmavaram.
4 Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennekothapally.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to call for the records concerned in the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in Rc (F) 2391/94 dated 22.3.1996 and issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus directing the 3rd and 4th respondents to implement the orders passed by the 3rd respondent in the above said proceedings for restoration and allotment of supplies of Essential Commodities intended to the FPS of Pulinetipally Village, Chennekothapally Mandal, Ananthpur District in my name.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.C.RANGAPPA

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies.

The petitioner questioned the action of the respondents in not continuing his temporary dealership pending appointment of the permanent dealership.

This Court by order dated 30.12.2004 directed the respondents that in case no dealer has been appointed for the shop in question, the case of the petitioner shall be considered for appointment as temporary dealer.

The learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies has produced a copy of the proceedings of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Dharmavaram in Rc.No.3288/2004-F dated 17.1.2005 stating that consequent upon the cancellation of authorization of the petitioner, the vacancy has been notified on 6.1.1997 and one E.Parimaladevi was permanently appointed and, therefore, the question of appointing the petitioner as temporary dealer does not arise.

In view of the above, the writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed. No order as to costs.

(V.ESWARAIAH, J.)

27.4.2005

kpr

To

1 The Collector, Anantapur.
2 The Joint Collector, Annanthapur.
3 Revenue Divisional Officer, Dharmavaram.
4 Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennekothapally.

5 2 c.c to the Govt. Pleader for Civil Supplies, High Court buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

6 2 C.D.copies